



KAPITAŁ LUDZKI
NARODOWA STRATEGIA SPÓJNOŚCI



UNIWERSYTET GDAŃSKI

UNIA EUROPEJSKA
EUROPEJSKI
FUNDUSZ SPOŁECZNY



Markov operators and their stability - a model way of solving exercises

Tomasz Szarek

PWP Interdisciplinary Doctoral Studies in Mathematical Modeling

UDA-POKL.04.01.01-00-026/13-00

Projekt jest współfinansowany przez Unię Europejską w ramach Europejskiego Funduszu Społecznego

Exercise 1: Consider the system

$$x_{n+1} = T(x_n, \xi_n) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (1)$$

where T is a given transformation and the ξ_n are independent random vectors satisfying:

- T is defined on the space $X \times W$ with values in X , where W is a closed subset of \mathbb{R} . For every fixed $y \in W$ the function $T(x, y)$ is continuous in x and for every fixed $x \in X$ it is measurable in y .
- The random variables ξ_0, ξ_1, \dots , have values in W and have the same distribution, that is, the measure

$$\nu(B) = \text{prob}(\xi_n \in B) \quad \text{for } B \in \mathcal{B}(W)$$

is the same for all n .

- The initial random vector x_0 has values in X and the vectors x_0, ξ_0, ξ_1, \dots , are independent.

Find the transition operator (Markov operator) for the chain $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$.

Solution: Observe that if ξ_0, ξ_1, \dots are independent and x_0 is constant, then the vectors x_0, ξ_0, ξ_1, \dots , are also independent. According to (1) the random vector x_n is a function of x_0 and $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}$. Hence x_n and ξ_n are independent. Using this we will derive a recurrence formula for the measures

$$\mu_n(A) = \text{prob}(x_n \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(X),$$

which statistically describe the behaviour of the dynamical system (1).

Thus, choose a bounded Borel measurable function $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and for some integer $n \geq 0$ consider the random variable $z_{n+1} = h(x_{n+1})$. Observe that

$$\mu_{n+1}(A) = \text{prob}(x_{n+1}^{-1}(B)).$$

Using this the mathematical expectation $E(z_{n+1})$ can be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E(z_{n+1}) &= \int_{\Omega} h(x_{n+1}(\omega)) \text{prob}(d\omega) = \int_X h(x) \text{prob}(x_{n+1}^{-1}(dx)) \\ &= \int_X h(x) \mu_{n+1}(dx). \end{aligned}$$

For a Borel measurable function f and a Borel measure μ we will write

$$\langle f, \mu \rangle = \int_X f(x) \mu(dx).$$

However, since $z_{n+1} = h(T(x_n, \xi_n))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(z_{n+1}) &= \int_{\Omega} h(T(X_n(\omega), \xi_n(\omega))) \text{prob}(d\omega) \\ &= \iint_{X \times W} h(T(x, y)) \text{prob}((x_n, \xi_n)^{-1}(dx, dy)). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The independence of the random vectors x_n and ξ_n implies that

$$\text{prob}((x_n, \xi_n)^{-1}(A \times B)) = \text{prob}(x_n^{-1}(A)) \text{prob}(\xi_n^{-1}(B)),$$

which shows that the measure $\text{prob}((x_n, \xi_n)^{-1}(C))$ is the product of measures

$$\mu_n(A) = \text{prob}(x_n^{-1}(A)) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(B) = \text{prob}(\xi_n^{-1}(B)).$$

Thus, by the Fubini theorem we obtain

$$E(z_{n+1}) = \int_X \left\{ \int_W h(T(x, y)) \nu(dy) \right\} \mu_n(dx).$$

Equating this expression with (2) we immediately obtain

$$\langle h, \mu_{n+1} \rangle = \int_X \left\{ \int_W h(T(x, y)) \nu(dy) \right\} \mu_n(dx).$$

Using this we may calculate the value of $\mu_{n+1}(A)$ for an arbitrary measurable set $A \subset X$. Namely, setting $h = \mathbf{1}_A$ we obtain

$$\mu_{n+1}(A) = \int_X \left\{ \int_W \mathbf{1}_A(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) \nu(d\mathbf{y}) \right\} \mu_n(dx).$$

Thus the operator $P : \mathcal{M}_{fin} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{fin}$ describing the evolution of measures is given by

$$P\mu(A) = \int_X \left\{ \int_W \mathbf{1}_A(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) \nu(d\mathbf{y}) \right\} \mu(dx) \quad \text{for } \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{fin}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(X). \quad (3)$$

Since ν is a probabilistic measure, it is obvious that P is a Markov operator.

Setting

$$Uh(x) = \int_W h(T(x, y)) \nu(dy) \quad \text{for } x \in X,$$

we may rewrite (3) in the form

$$\langle \mathbf{1}_A, P\mu \rangle = \langle U\mathbf{1}_A, \mu \rangle.$$

Due to the linearity of the scalar product this implies

$$\langle g_n, P\mu \rangle = \langle Ug_n, \mu \rangle,$$

where

$$g_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$$

is a simple function. Further, since every measurable function h can be approximated by a sequence $\{g_n\}$ of simple functions, we obtain in the limit

$$\langle h, P\mu \rangle = \langle Uh, \mu \rangle, \quad (4)$$

if $\{g_n\}$ and $\{Ug_n\}$ satisfy the conditions of the Lebesgue dominated or Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem. In particular, (4) is valid if h is Borel measurable and bounded or nonnegative. \square

Exercise 2: Show that the space $\mathcal{M}_{sig} := \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{M}$ with the Wasserstein distance is not complete.

Solution: Introduce in the space of Borel measures the total variation norm. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{sig}$ and let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be a measurable partition of X , that is

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad X_i \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

We set

$$\|\nu\|_0 = \sup \sum_{i=1}^n |\nu(X_i)|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all possible measurable partitions of X (with arbitrary n). The value $\|\nu\|_0$ is the desired distance. Further observe that if $x \neq y$ then $\|\delta_x - \delta_y\|_0 = 2$. It is easy to check that $(\mathcal{M}_{sig}, \|\cdot\|_0)$ is a Banach space.

Let $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{sig}$ and let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be a measurable partition of X . Fix $f \in C(X)$ such that $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\text{Lip } f \leq 1$. We have

$$|\langle f, \nu \rangle| = \left| \int_X f d\nu \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \int_{X_i} f d\nu \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\nu(X_i)|$$

and taking supremum over partitions (X_1, \dots, X_n) on the right hand side and supremum over functions f satisfying $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\text{Lip } f \leq 1$ on the left hand side, we obtain

$$\|\nu\|_W \leq \|\nu\|_0. \quad (5)$$

Assume now, contrary to our claim, that $(\mathcal{M}_{sig}, \|\cdot\|_W)$ is a Banach space similarly to $(\mathcal{M}_{sig}, \|\cdot\|_0)$. By (5) the linear operator $T = \text{id}$ is continuous and from the Banach open mapping theorem it follows that there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\|\nu\|_0 \leq \gamma \|\nu\|_W.$$

However taking an arbitrary sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ with $x_n \in X$ convergent to $x \in X$ and such that $x_n \neq x$ we see

$$2 = \|\delta_x - \delta_{x_n}\|_0 \leq \gamma \|\delta_x - \delta_{x_n}\|_W \leq \rho(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which contradicts the assumption that $(\mathcal{M}_{sig}, \|\cdot\|_W)$ is a Banach space. \square

Exercise 3: Show that if $\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_W \leq \varepsilon^2$ for $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, then

$$\mu_1(\mathcal{N}(C, \varepsilon)) \geq \mu_2(C) - \varepsilon \quad \text{for every Borel set } C \subset X.$$

(Here $\mathcal{N}(C, \varepsilon) = \{x \in X : \inf\{\rho(x, y) : y \in C\} < \varepsilon\}$.)

Solution: Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1$ be given. Fix a Borel set $C \subset X$. Define $f(x) = \max(\varepsilon - \rho(C, x), 0)$. It is easy to check that $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\text{Lip } f \leq 1$. Since $f(x) = 0$ for $x \notin \mathcal{N}(C, \varepsilon)$ and $f(x) = \varepsilon$ for $x \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \mu_2(C) - \varepsilon \mu_1(\mathcal{N}(C, \varepsilon)) &\leq | \langle f, \mu_1 \rangle - \langle f, \mu_2 \rangle | \\ &\leq \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_W \leq \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mu_1(\mathcal{N}(C, \varepsilon)) \geq \mu_2(C) - \varepsilon$$

and the assertion follows. \square

Exercise 4: Let P be a nonexpensive Markov operator. Assume that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a Borel set A with $\text{diam } A \leq \varepsilon$, a real number $\alpha > 0$ and an integer \bar{n} such that

$$P^{\bar{n}}\mu(A) \geq \alpha \quad \text{for } \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1. \quad (6)$$

Prove that P is asymptotically stable.

Solution: Fix $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $A \subset X$ and $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1$ according to (6).

We define four sequences of probability measures $(\mu_i^k), (\nu_i^k)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, i = 1, 2$. If $k = 0$ we define $\mu_i^0 = \nu_i^0 = \mu_i$. If $k \geq 1$ is fixed and μ_i^{k-1}, ν_i^{k-1} are given, we define

$$\nu_i^k(B) = \frac{P^{\bar{n}}\mu_i^{k-1}(B \cap A)}{P^{\bar{n}}\mu_i^{k-1}(A)} \quad \mu_i^k(B) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \{P^{\bar{n}}\mu_i^{k-1}(B) - \alpha\nu_i^k(B)\}. \quad (7)$$

Since $P^{\bar{n}}\mu_i^{k-1}(A) \geq \alpha$, we have

$$P^{\bar{n}}\mu_i^{k-1}(B) \geq P^{\bar{n}}\mu_i^{k-1}(B \cap A) = P^{\bar{n}}\mu_i^{k-1}(A)\nu_i^k(B) \geq \alpha\nu_i^k(B).$$

Observe that $\nu_i^k(X \setminus A) = 0$ and consequently

$$\|\nu_1^k - \nu_2^k\|_W = \sup_f \left| \int_X f d\nu_1^k - \int_X f d\nu_2^k \right| = \sup_f \left| \int_A f d\nu_1^k - \int_A f d\nu_2^k \right| \leq \text{diam } A \leq \varepsilon. \quad (8)$$

Using equations (7) we have

$$P^{k\cdot\bar{n}}\mu_i = \alpha P^{(k-1)\cdot\bar{n}}\nu_i^1 + \alpha(1-\alpha)P^{(k-2)\cdot\bar{n}}\nu_i^2 \\ + \dots + \alpha(1-\alpha)^{k-1}\nu_i^k + (1-\alpha)^k\mu_i^k \quad \text{for } k > 1.$$

Since P is nonexpansive, this implies

$$\|P^{k\cdot\bar{n}}\mu_1 - P^{k\cdot\bar{n}}\mu_2\|_W \leq \alpha\|\nu_1^1 - \nu_2^1\|_W + \alpha(1-\alpha)\|\nu_1^2 - \nu_2^2\|_W \\ + \dots + \alpha(1-\alpha)^{k-1}\|\nu_1^k - \nu_2^k\|_W + (1-\alpha)^k\|\mu_1^k - \mu_2^k\|_W.$$

From above, condition (8) and the obvious inequality $\|\mu_1^k - \mu_2^k\|_W \leq 2$ it follows that

$$\|P^{k\cdot\bar{n}}(\mu_1 - \mu_2)\|_W \leq \varepsilon + 2(1-\alpha)^k.$$

Using the nonexpansiveness of P we obtain

$$\|P^n(\mu_1 - \mu_2)\|_W \leq \varepsilon + 2(1-\alpha)^k \quad \text{for } n \geq k \cdot \bar{n}.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary and k does not depend on μ_1, μ_2 we have

$$\|P^n\mu_1 - P^n\mu_2\|_W \leq \varepsilon$$

for $n \geq n_0$ and every measures $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1$.

So, we are given

$$\|P^n\mu - P^m\mu\|_W \leq \varepsilon$$

for $n, m \geq n_0$ and every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1$. Really, if $n > m$ we have

$$P^n\mu = P^m(P^{n-m}\mu)$$

and because $m \geq n_0$

$$\|P^m(\mu - P^{n-m}\mu)\|_W \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since \mathcal{M}_1 is a complete metric space, the sequence $(P^n\mu)_{n \geq 1}$ converges to some $\mu_\star \in \mathcal{M}_1$. Obviously $P\mu_\star = \mu_\star$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P^n\mu - \mu_\star\|_W = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P^n(\mu - \mu_\star)\|_W = 0$$

for every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1$. □

Exercise 5. Let P be a Feller operator and U its dual. Let $V : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous function, bounded on bounded sets, such that

$$\lim_{\rho(x, x_0) \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$$

for some $x_0 \in X$ (*Lyapunov function*). Assume, additionally, that there exist $a < 1$ and $b > 0$ such that

$$UV(x) \leq aV(x) + b \quad \text{for } x \in X. \quad (9)$$

Show that there exists a bounded Borel set $B \subset X$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^n \mu(B) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1$.

Solution: From (9), we obtain by an induction argument

$$U^n V(x) \leq a^n V(x) + \frac{b}{1-a} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (10)$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be bounded and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^A$. Set

$$B = \{x : V(x) \leq q\},$$

where $q > 2b(\varepsilon(1-a))^{-1}$. From (10) and the Chebyshev inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P^n \mu(B) &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{q} \int_X V(x) P^n \mu(dx) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{q} \int_X U^n V(x) \mu(dx) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{q} \left(a^n \int_X V(x) \mu(dx) + \frac{b}{1-a} \right) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{a^n}{q} \int_X V(x) \mu(dx) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{a^n}{q} \sup_{x \in A} V(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, there exists an integer n_0 such that

$$P^n \mu(B) \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^A. \quad (11)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $(1 - \varepsilon)^2 > 1/2$. Fix $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1$. From Ulam's theorem it follows that there exists a compact, thus bounded, set $A \subset X$ such that $\mu(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$. Define the measure $\mu_A(\cdot) = \mu(\cdot \cap A)/\mu(A)$. Obviously $\mu_A \in \mathcal{M}_1^A$. Since $P^n \mu \geq (-\varepsilon)P^n \mu_A$, from (11) it follows that

$$P^n \mu(B) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)P^n \mu_A(B) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon) \geq 1/2$$

for all n sufficiently large. □