

On the Selberg class of L-functions

Jerzy Kaczorowski

Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland

March, 2012

What is an *L*-function?

What is an *L*-function?

“We know one when we see one.”

What is an *L*-function?

“We know one when we see one.”

Dirichlet series, Euler product, functional equation...

What is an *L*-function?

“We know one when we see one.”

Dirichlet series, Euler product, functional equation...

Do we know all interesting *L*-functions?

What is an *L*-function?

“We know one when we see one.”

Dirichlet series, Euler product, functional equation...

Do we know all interesting *L*-functions?

We don't know.

What is an *L*-function?

“We know one when we see one.”

Dirichlet series, Euler product, functional equation...

Do we know all interesting *L*-functions?

We don't know.

Automorphic *L*-functions?

Definition of S (Selberg, 1989)

Definition of S (Selberg, 1989)

$$F \in S \text{ if } F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s}$$

Definition of S (Selberg, 1989)

$F \in S$ if $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s}$ where

1. the Dirichlet series converges absolutely for $\sigma > 1$.

Definition of S (Selberg, 1989)

$F \in S$ if $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s}$ where

1. the Dirichlet series converges absolutely for $\sigma > 1$.
2. (*Analytic continuation*) There exists an integer $m \geq 0$ such that $(s-1)^m F(s)$ is entire of finite order.

Definition of S (Selberg, 1989)

$F \in S$ if $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s}$ where

1. the Dirichlet series converges absolutely for $\sigma > 1$.
2. (*Analytic continuation*) There exists an integer $m \geq 0$ such that $(s-1)^m F(s)$ is entire of finite order.
3. (*Functional equation*)

$$\Phi(s) = \omega \overline{\Phi(1 - \bar{s})},$$

where

$\Phi(s) = Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j) F(s) = \gamma(s) F(s)$, and
 $r \geq 0$, $Q > 0$, $\lambda_j > 0$, $\Re \mu_j \geq 0$, $|\omega| = 1$.

Definition of S , continuation

4. (*Ramanujan hypothesis*) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $a(n) \ll n^\varepsilon$.
5. (*Euler product*) For $\sigma > 1$ we have

$$\log F(s) = \sum_n b(n)n^{-s},$$

where $b(n) = 0$ unless $n = p^m$ and $b(n) \ll n^\theta$ for some $\theta < 1/2$.

1. Remark: $r = 0$ is possible — the functional equation takes form

$$Q^s F(s) = \omega Q^{1-s} \overline{F}(1-s).$$

2. The *extended Selberg class* $S^\#$ consists of $F(s)$ not identically zero satisfying axioms (1), (2) and (3).
3. $\gamma(s) = Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j)$ — the *gamma factor* of $F \in S^\#$.

EXAMPLES

1. The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$

EXAMPLES

1. The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$
2. Shifted Dirichlet L -functions $L(s + i\theta, \chi)$, where χ is a primitive Dirichlet character (mod q), $q > 1$, and θ is a real number

EXAMPLES

1. The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$
2. Shifted Dirichlet L-functions $L(s + i\theta, \chi)$, where χ is a primitive Dirichlet character (mod q), $q > 1$, and θ is a real number
3. $\zeta_K(s)$, Dedekind zeta function of an algebraic number field K

EXAMPLES

1. The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$
2. Shifted Dirichlet L -functions $L(s + i\theta, \chi)$, where χ is a primitive Dirichlet character $(\bmod q)$, $q > 1$, and θ is a real number
3. $\zeta_K(s)$, Dedekind zeta function of an algebraic number field K
4. $L_K(s, \chi)$, Hecke L -function to a primitive Hecke character $\chi(\bmod \mathfrak{f})$, \mathfrak{f} is an ideal of the ring of integers of K

EXAMPLES, continuation

5. L -function associated with a holomorphic newform of a congruence subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (after suitable normalization)
6. Rankin-Selberg convolution of any two normalized holomorphic newforms.
7. $F, G \in S$ implies $FG \in S$ (the same for $S^\#$)
8. If $F \in S$ is entire then the *shift* $F_\theta(s) = F(s + i\theta)$ is in S for every real θ

Conditional examples

1. Artin L -functions for irreducible representations of Galois groups (modulo Artin's conjecture: holomorphy is missing).
2. L -functions associated with nonholomorphic newforms (Ramanujan hypothesis is missing, exceptional eigenvalue problem).

Conditional examples, continuation

3. Symmetric powers (for normalized holomorphic newforms, say):

$$L(s) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{a_p}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{b_p}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

r -th symmetric power:

$$L_r(s) = \prod_p \prod_{j=0}^r (1 - a_p^j b_p^{r-j} p^{-s})^{-1}$$

(modulo Langlands functoriality conjecture).

4. In general: $GL_n(K)$ automorphic L functions
(Ramanujan hypothesis is missing).

Examples, continuation

General examples of L -functions from the extended Selberg class: linear combinations of solutions of the same functional equation as for instance the Davenport-Heilbronn L -function.

$$L(s) = \bar{\lambda}L(s, \chi_1) + \lambda L(s, \bar{\chi}_1),$$

$$\chi_1 \pmod{5} \text{ such that } \chi_1(2) = i,$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + i \frac{\sqrt{10 - 2\sqrt{5} - 2}}{\sqrt{5} - 1} \right).$$

Functional equation

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{5}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) L(s) = \left(\frac{\pi}{5}\right)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2-s}{2}\right) L(1-s).$$

MOTIVATIONS

MOTIVATIONS

Why we are interested in studying S ?

MOTIVATIONS

Why we are interested in studying S ?

1. Elements are very interesting objects

MOTIVATIONS

Why we are interested in studying S ?

1. Elements are very interesting objects (eg. classical analytic number theory)

MOTIVATIONS

Why we are interested in studying S ?

1. Elements are very interesting objects (eg. classical analytic number theory)
2. Considering the whole class of L -functions is important

MOTIVATIONS

Why we are interested in studying S ?

1. Elements are very interesting objects (eg. classical analytic number theory)
2. Considering the whole class of L -functions is important

MOTIVATIONS

EXAMPLE 1: General prime number theorem.

MOTIVATIONS

EXAMPLE 1: General prime number theorem.

MERTENS: $\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \sim \log \log x$

MOTIVATIONS

EXAMPLE 1: General prime number theorem.

$$\text{MERTENS: } \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \sim \log \log x$$

$$\text{PNT: } \pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

MOTIVATIONS

EXAMPLE 1: General prime number theorem.

MERTENS: $\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \sim \log \log x$

PNT: $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$

- ★ There is no simple way to deduce PNT from Mertens' Theorem.

MOTIVATIONS

NC: if $F \in S$ has degree $d_F > 0$ then

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{|a(p)|^2}{p} \sim n_F \log \log x \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

with some constant $n_F > 0$, and $n_F \leq 1$ if $F(s)$ is primitive.

MOTIVATIONS

NC: if $F \in S$ has degree $d_F > 0$ then

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{|a(p)|^2}{p} \sim n_F \log \log x \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

with some constant $n_F > 0$, and $n_F \leq 1$ if $F(s)$ is primitive.

★ MERTENS \implies NC is true for $F = \zeta$.

MOTIVATIONS

NC: if $F \in S$ has degree $d_F > 0$ then

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{|a(p)|^2}{p} \sim n_F \log \log x \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

with some constant $n_F > 0$, and $n_F \leq 1$ if $F(s)$ is primitive.

★ MERTENS \implies NC is true for $F = \zeta$.

★★ THEOREM: NC \implies PNT for S

MOTIVATIONS

EXAMPLE 2:

MOTIVATIONS

EXAMPLE 2: Impact on concrete L -function.

MOTIVATIONS

EXAMPLE 2: Impact on concrete L-function.

Non-Vanishing Conjecture: *For every entire L-function G belonging to the Selberg class we have $G(1) \neq 0$.*

MOTIVATIONS

EXAMPLE 2: Impact on concrete L -function.

Non-Vanishing Conjecture: *For every entire L -function G belonging to the Selberg class we have $G(1) \neq 0$.*

THEOREM Suppose NVC. Then for every entire $F \in S$, every algebraic number field K , and every positive N there exists a non-trivial zero ρ of the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_K(s)$ of K such that $m(\rho, \zeta_K) > Nm(\rho, F)$.

MOTIVATIONS

COROLLARY Assume NVC, and let for a fixed algebraic number field K with the class number $h_K > 1$,

$$E_K(x) = \sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_K) \leq x} 1 - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \zeta_K(s, M_K) \frac{x^s}{s} ds$$

denote the remainder term in the asymptotic formula for the number of irreducible elements of \mathcal{O}_K with norms $\leq x$ counted modulo units. Then

$$E_K(x) = \Omega \left(\sqrt{x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{D_K - 1}}{\log x} \right)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

MOTIVATIONS

REMARK Unconditionally we know only

$$E_K(x) = \Omega(\sqrt{x}(\log x)^{-B_K}),$$

where B_K is a positive constant depending on K .

Invariants

Theorem: *For $F \in S^\sharp$ the gamma factor*

$$\gamma_F(s) = Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j)$$

is unique up to a multiplicative constant.

Invariants

Theorem: For $F \in S^\sharp$ the gamma factor

$$\gamma_F(s) = Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j)$$

is unique up to a multiplicative constant.

Remark: The shape of functional equation is NOT unique.

Invariants

Theorem: For $F \in S^\sharp$ the gamma factor

$$\gamma_F(s) = Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j)$$

is unique up to a multiplicative constant.

Remark: The shape of functional equation is NOT unique.

Main invariants: 1. degree: $d_F := 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j$

Invariants

Theorem: For $F \in S^\sharp$ the gamma factor

$$\gamma_F(s) = Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j)$$

is unique up to a multiplicative constant.

Remark: The shape of functional equation is NOT unique.

Main invariants:

1. degree: $d_F := 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j$
2. conductor: $q_F := (2\pi)^{d_F} Q^2 \prod_{j=1}^r \lambda_j^{2\lambda_j}$

The general converse conjecture

For $d \geq 0$ let

$$S_d := \{F \in S : d_F = d\}$$

$$S_d^\# := \{F \in S^\# : d_F = d\}$$

The general converse conjecture

For $d \geq 0$ let

$$S_d := \{F \in S : d_F = d\}$$

$$S_d^\# := \{F \in S^\# : d_F = d\}$$

General Converse Conjecture (GCC):

The general converse conjecture

For $d \geq 0$ let

$$S_d := \{F \in S : d_F = d\}$$

$$S_d^\# := \{F \in S^\# : d_F = d\}$$

General Converse Conjecture:

1. DEGREE CONJECTURE:

$$d \notin \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \implies S_d^\# = S_d = \emptyset.$$

The general converse conjecture

For $d \geq 0$ let

$$S_d := \{F \in S : d_F = d\}$$

$$S_d^\# := \{F \in S^\# : d_F = d\}$$

General Converse Conjecture:

1. DEGREE CONJECTURE:

$$d \notin \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \implies S_d^\# = S_d = \emptyset.$$

2. $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $F \in S_d \implies$

F – automorphic L – function.

THEOREM *Let $Q > 0$, $\lambda_j > 0$, $\mu_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\mu_j) \geq 0$, ($j=1, \dots, r$), and $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\omega| = 1$ be arbitrary. Moreover, put*

$$\gamma(s) = Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j).$$

Then the functional equation

$$\gamma(s)F(s) = \omega \overline{\gamma(1 - \bar{s})F(\bar{s})}$$

has uncountably many linearly independent solutions in the set of generalized Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)e^{-\theta_n s} \quad (\theta_n > 0).$$

THEOREM *Let $Q > 0$, $\lambda_j > 0$, $\mu_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\mu_j) \geq 0$, ($j=1, \dots, r$), and $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\omega| = 1$ be arbitrary. Moreover, put*

$$\gamma(s) = Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j).$$

Then the functional equation

$$\gamma(s)F(s) = \omega \overline{\gamma(1 - \bar{s})F(\bar{s})}$$

has uncountably many linearly independent solutions in the set of generalized Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)e^{-\theta_n s} \quad (\theta_n > 0).$$

Corollary GCC badly fails in case of the general D-series.

A measure theoretic approach to the degree conjecture

A measure theoretic approach to the degree conjecture

THEOREM *The sets of degrees of L-functions from S and S^\sharp*

$$d(S) = \{d_F : F \in S\}$$

$$d(S^\sharp) = \{d_F : F \in S^\sharp\}$$

are Lebesgue measurable. Moreover, $\text{meas}(d(S)) = 0$ or the set $d(S)$ contains a half-line. The same holds for $d(S^\sharp)$.

A measure theoretic approach to the conductor conjecture

A measure theoretic approach to the conductor conjecture

$$\text{CC: } F \in S \implies q_F \in \mathbb{N}$$

A measure theoretic approach to the conductor conjecture

$$\text{CC: } F \in S \implies q_F \in \mathbb{N}$$

THEOREM *The set*

$$q(S) = \{q_F : F \in S\}$$

has Lebesgue measure 0 or contains a half-line. The same holds for $q(S^\#)$.

The present state of art (GCC)

The present state of art (GCC)

1. GCC TRUE for $0 \leq d < 2$

The present state of art (GCC)

1. GCC TRUE for $0 \leq d < 2$
2. UNKNOWN for $d \geq 2$.

The present state of art (GCC)

THEOREM 1 $S^\sharp = \emptyset$ if $0 < d < 1$

Many authors including Richert, Bochner,
Conrey-Ghosh, Molteni, J.K.&A.P ...

The present state of art (GCC)

THEOREM 2 $F \in S, d_F = 1 \implies F(s) = L(s + i\theta, \chi)$

(χ primitive)

J.K.& A.P. Acta Mathematica 182 (1999), no. 2,
207–241

The present state of art (GCC)

Main tool in the proof: the standard non-linear twist

$$F \in S_d^\#, \quad d > 0, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad \sigma > 1$$

$$F_d(s, \alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s} e(-n^{1/d} \alpha).$$
$$(e(\theta) := \exp(2\pi i \theta))$$

The present state of art (GCC)

THEOREM 3 $S^\# = \emptyset$ if $1 < d < 2$

J.K.& A.P. Ann. of Math. (2) 173 (2011), no. 3,
1397–1441

The present state of art (GCC)

Main tool in the proof: multidimensional twists

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_F(n)}{n^s} \exp\left(-2\pi i \sum_{\nu=0}^N \alpha_{\nu} n^{\kappa_{\nu}}\right)$$

$$\kappa_0 > \kappa_1 > \dots > \kappa_N$$

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha_1 > 0$$

Next step $d = 2$

Next step $d = 2$

Big challenge!

$$T_h(a, n) = k(s)!$$