

Reductive groups and their representations
Part II: Representations in defining characteristic

Frank Lübeck
Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen

Kraków, April 27-28, 2019

Setup and Notation

$$K = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$$

G simple reductive group / K with root datum (X, Φ, Y, Φ^\vee)

Assume G **simply connected** ($Y = \mathbb{Z}\Phi^\vee$, SL, Sp, Spin, ...)

We consider **rational representations** $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(M)$, $M = K^n$ (morphism of varieties)

$T < B < G$ maximal torus and Borel subgroup, this determines

$$\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup -\Phi^+ \quad (\Phi^+ \text{ the } \mathbf{positive \ roots})$$

$\omega_1, \dots, \omega_l$ a \mathbb{Z} -basis of X (**fundamental weights**)

$$X^+ = \langle \omega_1, \dots, \omega_l \rangle_{\mathbb{N}_0} \quad (\mathbf{dominant \ weights})$$

Partial order on X : $\mu \leq \lambda$ iff $\lambda - \mu \in \mathbb{N}_0\Phi^+$

Weights

Restrict M to T (consider M as KT -module), then

$$M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in X} M_{\mu}, \text{ where}$$

$$M_{\mu} = \{v \in M \mid vt = \mu(t)v \text{ for all } t \in T\} \quad (\text{weight spaces})$$

$$\Lambda(M) := \{\mu \in X \mid M_{\mu} \neq 0\} \quad (\text{weights of } M)$$

$$\text{ch}(M) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0, \mu \mapsto \dim M_{\mu} \quad (\text{character of } M)$$

Theorem. [Chevalley '50s] If M is irreducible then there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda(M)$ with $\lambda \geq \mu$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda(M)$ (**highest weight**), $\lambda \in X^+$ is dominant.

For each dominant $\lambda \in X^+$ there is a unique irreducible module $L(\lambda)$ with highest weight λ .

Remark. This gives a parameterization of irreducible representations of G over K . But what are $\dim L(\lambda)$ and $\text{ch}L(\lambda)$?

Restricted weights

$$X_p := \{a_1\omega_1 + \dots + a_l\omega_l \mid 0 \leq a_i < p\} \quad (p\text{-restricted weights})$$

Theorem. [Steinberg tensor product theorem, 60s] Let $\lambda \in X^+$ dominant and write $\lambda = \lambda_0 + p\lambda_1 + \dots + p^k\lambda_k$ with $\lambda_i \in X_p$. Then

$$L(\lambda) = L(\lambda_0) \otimes_K L(\lambda_1)^{F_p} \otimes \dots \otimes_K L(\lambda_k)^{F_p^k}.$$

(So, for fixed p , the determination of all $\text{ch}L(\lambda)$ reduces to a finite problem.)

Weyl modules

\mathcal{L} : complex simple Lie algebra with root system Φ

\mathcal{U} : its enveloping algebra, its irreducible (complex) representations are also parameterized by the dominant weights

$V(\lambda)_{\mathbb{C}}$: irreducible representation of \mathcal{U} for $\lambda \in X^+$

Chevalley basis of \mathcal{L} leads to \mathbb{Z} -lattice $V(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}}$

$V(\lambda) := V(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ becomes a KG -module (Weyl module)

$V(\lambda)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $L(\lambda)$

Theorems. [Weyl, Freudenthal] $\dim V(\lambda)$ and $\text{ch} V(\lambda)$ are known and computable.

Affine Weyl group

$W_p := p\mathbb{Z}\Phi \rtimes W$ affine Weyl group

acts on X , $(w, \mu) \mapsto w \cdot \mu$

Let $\rho := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$ and define

$$\underline{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \{\lambda \in X \mid 0 < \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle < p \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi^+\}$$

$$\overline{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \{\lambda \in X \mid 0 \leq \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \leq p \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi^+\}$$

Then $\overline{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a fundamental domain for the action of W_p on X

Theorem. [Jantzen, Andersen, 80s] (Linkage principle) For $\lambda \in X^+$ dominant write uniquely

$$\text{ch}L(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in X^+} a_\mu \text{ch}V(\mu).$$

Then $a_\mu \neq 0$ implies $\mu \in W_p \cdot \lambda$.

Character formula

Let $\lambda_0 \in C_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (exists if $p > h$, Coxeter number) and $\lambda = w.\lambda_0$ and $\mu = v.\lambda_0$ dominant.

Theorem. [Riche, Williamson, 2019] Let $p > 2h - 1$. Then $a_{\mu} = {}^p P_{v,w}(1)$, where ${}^p P_{v,w}$ is a **p -Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial**.

For p "big enough" ${}^p P_{v,w} = P_{v,w}$, the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial.

Theorem. [Jantzen, Andersen, 80s] (Translation principle) Reduce computation of $\text{ch}L(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in X_p$ to these cases.

Finite groups G^F

$F : G \rightarrow G$ Frobenius, such that $F^k = F_{q^k}$

Theorem. [Steinberg 60's] The irreducible representations of G^F over K are the

$$\{L(\lambda) \mid_{G^F} \mid \lambda \in X_q\} \quad (q\text{-restricted weights})$$

Remarks.

- ▶ The same G -representations are restricted to twisted and untwisted groups.
- ▶ [Brunat-L., 2014] Essentially reduce the case of G^F from arbitrary reductive G to the case above.
(In general it is no longer true that all irreducibles of G^F are restrictions of irreducibles of G .)