

Spin structures on almost flat 4-manifolds

Rafał Lutowski

joint with Nansen Petrosyan and Andrzej Szczepański

Institute of Mathematics, University of Gdańsk

Nikolaus Conference 2016

1 Introduction

- Almost flat manifolds
- Spin structures

2 Spin structures

- The algorithm
- Dimension 4

Almost crystallographic groups

- N – connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group.
- $\text{Aff}(N) := N \rtimes \text{Aut}(N)$ acts on N by

$$(n, \varphi) \cdot m = n\varphi(m),$$

where $n, m \in N, \varphi \in \text{Aut}(N)$.

Definition

- A discrete and cocompact subgroup Γ of $N \rtimes G$ is called an **almost crystallographic (AC)** group.
- A torsionfree AC-group is called an **almost Bieberbach (AB)** group.
- Γ – AB-group. N/Γ – **almost flat manifold** (modeled on N).

Almost crystallographic groups

- N – connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group.
- $\text{Aff}(N) := N \rtimes \text{Aut}(N)$ acts on N by

$$(n, \varphi) \cdot m = n\varphi(m).$$

- C – maximal compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(N)$.

Definition

- A discrete and cocompact subgroup Γ of $N \rtimes C$ is called an **almost crystallographic (AC)** group.
- A torsionfree AC-group is called an **almost Bieberbach (AB)** group.
- Γ – AB-group. N/Γ – **almost flat manifold** (modeled on N).

Almost crystallographic groups

- N – connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group.
- $\text{Aff}(N) := N \rtimes \text{Aut}(N)$ acts on N by

$$(n, \varphi) \cdot m = n\varphi(m).$$

- C – maximal compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(N)$.

Definition

- A discrete and cocompact subgroup Γ of $N \rtimes C$ is called an **almost crystallographic (AC)** group.
- A torsionfree AC-group is called an **almost Bieberbach (AB)** group.
- Γ – AB-group. N/Γ – **almost flat manifold** (modeled on N).

Almost crystallographic groups

- N – connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group.
- $\text{Aff}(N) := N \rtimes \text{Aut}(N)$ acts on N by

$$(n, \varphi) \cdot m = n\varphi(m).$$

- C – maximal compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(N)$.

Definition

- A discrete and cocompact subgroup Γ of $N \rtimes C$ is called an **almost crystallographic (AC)** group.
- A torsionfree AC-group is called an **almost Bieberbach (AB)** group.
- Γ – AB-group. N/Γ – **almost flat manifold** (modeled on N).

Almost crystallographic groups

- N – connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group.
- $\text{Aff}(N) := N \rtimes \text{Aut}(N)$ acts on N by

$$(n, \varphi) \cdot m = n\varphi(m).$$

- C – maximal compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(N)$.

Definition

- A discrete and cocompact subgroup Γ of $N \rtimes C$ is called an **almost crystallographic (AC)** group.
- A torsionfree AC-group is called an **almost Bieberbach (AB)** group.
- Γ – AB-group. N/Γ – **almost flat manifold** (modeled on N).

Representations of AC-groups

Theorem (Auslander 1960 – Generalized 1st Bieberbach)

Let $\Gamma \subset N \rtimes C$ be an AC-group. We have the following short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

where $\Lambda = \Gamma \cap N$ is a lattice and F – finite.

Definition

$F \subset C$. Using a monomorphism $C \hookrightarrow O(n)$ we construct

- holonomy representation $\varphi: F \rightarrow O(n)$;
- classifying representation $\varphi \circ \pi: \Gamma \rightarrow O(n)$.

Remark

Γ – AB-group. Then N/Γ is orientable $\Leftrightarrow \text{Im}(\varphi) \subset \text{SO}(n)$.

Representations of AC-groups

Theorem (Auslander 1960 – Generalized 1st Bieberbach)

Let $\Gamma \subset N \rtimes C$ be an AC-group. We have the following short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

where $\Lambda = \Gamma \cap N$ is a lattice and F – finite.

Definition

$F \subset C$. Using a monomorphism $C \hookrightarrow O(n)$ we construct

- **holonomy representation** $\varphi: F \rightarrow O(n)$;
- **classifying representation** $\varphi \circ \pi: \Gamma \rightarrow O(n)$.

Remark

Γ – AB-group. Then N/Γ is orientable $\Leftrightarrow \text{Im}(\varphi) \subset \text{SO}(n)$.

Representations of AC-groups

Theorem (Auslander 1960 – Generalized 1st Bieberbach)

Let $\Gamma \subset N \rtimes C$ be an AC-group. We have the following short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

where $\Lambda = \Gamma \cap N$ is a lattice and F – finite.

Definition

$F \subset C$. Using a monomorphism $C \hookrightarrow O(n)$ we construct

- **holonomy representation** $\varphi: F \rightarrow O(n)$;
- **classifying representation** $\varphi \circ \pi: \Gamma \rightarrow O(n)$.

Remark

Γ – AB-group. Then N/Γ is orientable $\Leftrightarrow \text{Im}(\varphi) \subset \text{SO}(n)$.

Clifford algebra

Definition

Clifford algebra C_n – real associative algebra with 1 generated by e_1, \dots, e_n with the following relations

$$e_i^2 = -1 \text{ and } e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Three involutions in C_n

Definition

- $*$: $C_n \rightarrow C_n$ defined on the basis of (the vector space) C_n by

$$(e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k})^* = e_{i_k} \dots e_{i_1}$$

for $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$.

- $'$: $C_n \rightarrow C_n$ defined on the generators of (the algebra) C_n by

$$e'_i = -e_i$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

- $\bar{}$: $C_n \rightarrow C_n$ is the composition of the previous involutions

$$\forall a \in C_n \quad \bar{a} = (a')^*.$$

Three involutions in C_n

Definition

- $*$: $C_n \rightarrow C_n$ defined on the basis of (the vector space) C_n by

$$(e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k})^* = e_{i_k} \dots e_{i_1}$$

- $'$: $C_n \rightarrow C_n$ defined on the generators of (the algebra) C_n by

$$e'_i = -e_i$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

- $\bar{}$: $C_n \rightarrow C_n$ defined on the basis of (the vector space) C_n by

$$\overline{e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k}} = (-e_{i_k}) \dots (-e_{i_1})$$

for $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$.

The spin group

Definition

$$\text{Spin}(n) := \{x \in C_n \mid x = x' \text{ and } x\bar{x} = 1\}$$

Example

- 1 $\text{Spin}(1) = \text{O}(1) = \{\pm 1\}$
- 2 $\text{Spin}(2) \simeq \text{U}(1)$
- 3 $\text{Spin}(3) \simeq \text{SU}(2)$
- 4 $\text{Spin}(4) \simeq \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$
- 5 $\text{Spin}(5) \simeq \text{Sp}(2)$
- 6 $\text{Spin}(6) \simeq \text{SU}(4)$

The spin group

Definition

$$\text{Spin}(n) := \{x \in C_n \mid x = x' \text{ and } x\bar{x} = 1\}$$

Example

- 1 $\text{Spin}(1) = \text{O}(1) = \{\pm 1\}$
- 2 $\text{Spin}(2) \simeq \text{U}(1)$
- 3 $\text{Spin}(3) \simeq \text{SU}(2)$
- 4 $\text{Spin}(4) \simeq \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$
- 5 $\text{Spin}(5) \simeq \text{Sp}(2)$
- 6 $\text{Spin}(6) \simeq \text{SU}(4)$

The spin group

Definition

$$\text{Spin}(n) := \{x \in C_n \mid x = x' \text{ and } x\bar{x} = 1\}$$

Proposition

We have a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \text{Spin}(n) \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} \text{SO}(n) \longrightarrow 1$$

where for every $x \in \text{Spin}(n), v \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\lambda_n(x)v = xv x^{-1}.$$

Spin structures on almost flat manifolds

Theorem (Gašior, Petrosyan, Szczepański 2016)

$\Gamma \subset N \rtimes C$ – AB-group with classifying representation $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$.

$$\left\{ \text{spin structures on } N/\Gamma \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \epsilon: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Spin}(n) \mid \lambda_n \epsilon = \rho \right\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \text{Spin}(n) \\
 & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \lambda_n \\
 \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SO}(n)
 \end{array}$$

The algorithm

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \text{Spin}(n) \\
 & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \lambda_n \\
 \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SO}(n)
 \end{array}$$

- 1 Take a finite presentation $\langle S|R \rangle$ corresponding to the extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

- 2 For every $s \in S$ find an element $x_s \in \text{Spin}(n)$ st. $\lambda_n(x_s) = \rho(s)$.

$$\lambda_n^{-1}(\rho(s)) = \{\pm x_s\}$$

- 3 Check if there is a function $\epsilon' : S \rightarrow \text{Spin}(n)$, $s \mapsto \pm x_s$ which preserves the relations of Γ :

$$s_1^{\alpha_1} \dots s_k^{\alpha_k} \in R \Rightarrow \epsilon'(s_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots \epsilon'(s_k)^{\alpha_k} = 1$$

for $s_i \in S$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

The algorithm

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \text{Spin}(n) \\
 & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \lambda_n \\
 \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SO}(n)
 \end{array}$$

- 1 Take a finite presentation $\langle S|R \rangle$ corresponding to the extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

- 2 For every $s \in S$ find an element $x_s \in \text{Spin}(n)$ st. $\lambda_n(x_s) = \rho(s)$.

$$\lambda_n^{-1}(\rho(s)) = \{\pm x_s\}$$

- 3 Check if there is a function $\epsilon' : S \rightarrow \text{Spin}(n)$, $s \mapsto \pm x_s$ which preserves the relations of Γ :

$$s_1^{\alpha_1} \dots s_k^{\alpha_k} \in R \Rightarrow \epsilon'(s_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots \epsilon'(s_k)^{\alpha_k} = 1$$

for $s_i \in S$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

The algorithm

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \text{Spin}(n) \\
 & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \lambda_n \\
 \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SO}(n)
 \end{array}$$

- 1 Take a finite presentation $\langle S|R \rangle$ corresponding to the extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

- 2 For every $s \in S$ find an element $x_s \in \text{Spin}(n)$ st. $\lambda_n(x_s) = \rho(s)$.

$$\lambda_n^{-1}(\rho(s)) = \{\pm x_s\}$$

- 3 Check if there is a function $\epsilon' : S \rightarrow \text{Spin}(n)$, $s \mapsto \pm x_s$ which preserves the relations of Γ :

$$s_1^{\alpha_1} \dots s_k^{\alpha_k} \in R \Rightarrow \epsilon'(s_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots \epsilon'(s_k)^{\alpha_k} = 1$$

for $s_i \in S$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

The algorithm

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \text{Spin}(n) \\
 & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \lambda_n \\
 \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SO}(n)
 \end{array}$$

- 1 Take a finite presentation $\langle S|R \rangle$ corresponding to the extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

- 2 For every $s \in S$ find an element $x_s \in \text{Spin}(n)$ st. $\lambda_n(x_s) = \rho(s)$.

$$\lambda_n^{-1}(\rho(s)) = \{\pm x_s\}$$

- 3 Check if there is a function $\epsilon' : S \rightarrow \text{Spin}(n)$, $s \mapsto \pm x_s$ which preserves the relations of Γ :

$$s_1^{\alpha_1} \dots s_k^{\alpha_k} \in R \Rightarrow \epsilon'(s_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots \epsilon'(s_k)^{\alpha_k} = 1$$

for $s_i \in S$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

The algorithm – remarks

Step 3: preserving relations

- 1 Γ – always finitely presented.

In GAP package AClib by B. Eick and K. Dekimpe we have 4-dimensional AC-groups as matrix and polycyclic groups.

- 2 We can use any extension equivalent to

$$1 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \lambda_n^{-1}(F) = \tilde{F} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1.$$

- 3 Preserving presentation in GAP:

`GroupHomomorphismByImages(Γ , \tilde{F} , S , $e'(S)$)`

The algorithm – remarks

Step 3: preserving relations

- 1 Γ – always finitely presented.

In GAP package AClib by B. Eick and K. Dekimpe we have 4-dimensional AC-groups as matrix and polycyclic groups.

- 2 We can use any extension equivalent to

$$1 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \lambda_n^{-1}(F) = \tilde{F} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1.$$

- 3 Preserving presentation in GAP:

GroupHomomorphismByImages(Γ , \tilde{F} , S , $\epsilon'(S)$)

The algorithm – remarks

Step 3: preserving relations

- 1 Γ – always finitely presented.

In GAP package AClib by B. Eick and K. Dekimpe we have 4-dimensional AC-groups as matrix and polycyclic groups.

- 2 We can use any extension equivalent to

$$1 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \lambda_n^{-1}(F) = \tilde{F} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1.$$

- 3 Preserving presentation in GAP:

`GroupHomomorphismByImages`(Γ , \tilde{F} , S , $\epsilon'(S)$)

The algorithm – remarks

Step 2: preimage

We have a central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{F} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

① $\varphi: F \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$ – holonomy representation

The algorithm – remarks

Step 2: preimage

We have a central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{F} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

- 1 $\varphi: F \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$ – holonomy representation
- 2 Iso class of \tilde{F} is determined by equivalence class of φ

The algorithm – remarks

Step 2: preimage

We have a central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{F} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

- 1 $\varphi: F \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n)$ – holonomy representation
- 2 Iso class of \tilde{F} is determined by equivalence class of φ
- 3 Enough to check when F is a 2-group

Lemma (Gašior, Petrosyan, Szczepański 2016)

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

Let G be a Sylow 2-subgroup of F . Then

N/Γ has a spin structure $\Leftrightarrow N/\pi^{-1}(G)$ has a spin structure.

The algorithm – remarks

Step 2: preimage

We have a central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{F} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

- 1 $\varphi: F \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n)$ – holonomy representation
- 2 Iso class of \tilde{F} is determined by equivalence class of φ
- 3 Enough to check when F is a 2-group
- 4 F – 2-group: can find $\varphi: F \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{SO}(n) \cap \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$

Lemma

φ is \mathbb{R} -equivalent to the representation of the form $F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$

Lemma (Putrycz, Lutowski 2015)

Every rational representation of a 2-group F is \mathbb{Q} -equivalent to the representation of the form $F \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(n, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{O}(n) \cap \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$

The algorithm – remarks

Step 2: preimage

We have a central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{F} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

- ① $\varphi: F \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$ – holonomy representation
- ② Iso class of \tilde{F} is determined by equivalence class of φ
- ③ Enough to check when F is a 2-group
- ④ F – 2-group: can find $\varphi: F \rightarrow \text{SO}(n, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{SO}(n) \cap \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$
- ⑤ $\text{SO}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $\lambda_n((1 + e_p e_q)/\sqrt{2}) \in \text{Spin}(n)$ where $1 \leq p < q \leq n$.

Example ($n = 3$)

$$\lambda_3 \left(\frac{1 + e_1 e_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The algorithm – remarks

Step 2: preimage

We have a central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{F} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

- ① $\varphi: F \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n)$ – holonomy representation
- ② Iso class of \tilde{F} is determined by equivalence class of φ
- ③ Enough to check when F is a 2-group
- ④ F – 2-group: can find $\varphi: F \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{SO}(n) \cap \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$
- ⑤ $\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $\lambda_n((1 + e_p e_q)/\sqrt{2}) \in \mathrm{Spin}(n)$ where $1 \leq p < q \leq n$.

Example ($n = 3$)

$$\lambda_3 \left(\frac{1 + e_1 e_3}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The algorithm – remarks

Step 2: preimage

We have a central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{F} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

- ① $\varphi: F \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n)$ – holonomy representation
- ② Iso class of \tilde{F} is determined by equivalence class of φ
- ③ Enough to check when F is a 2-group
- ④ F – 2-group: can find $\varphi: F \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{SO}(n) \cap \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$
- ⑤ $\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $\lambda_n((1 + e_p e_q)/\sqrt{2}) \in \mathrm{Spin}(n)$ where $1 \leq p < q \leq n$.

Example ($n = 3$)

$$\lambda_3 \left(\frac{1 + e_2 e_3}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The algorithm – remarks

Step 1: presentation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \text{Spin}(n) \\
 & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \lambda_n \\
 \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SO}(n)
 \end{array}$$

- We have a s.e.s.

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

- $\rho = \varphi\pi$, where $\varphi: F \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$ – holonomy representation
- Λ^2 – normal closure of group generated by squares of all elements of Λ
- If ϵ exists then $\Lambda^2 \subset \ker \epsilon$. **Isomorphism theorem:**

Existence of $\epsilon \Leftrightarrow$ existence of ϵ_2

The algorithm – remarks

Step 1: presentation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \text{Spin}(n) \\
 & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \lambda_n \\
 \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SO}(n)
 \end{array}$$

- We have a s.e.s.

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

- $\rho = \varphi\pi$, where $\varphi: F \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$ – holonomy representation
- Λ^2 – normal closure of group generated by squares of all elements of Λ
- If ϵ exists then $\Lambda^2 \subset \ker \epsilon$. Isomorphism theorem:

Existence of $\epsilon \Leftrightarrow$ existence of ϵ_2

The algorithm – remarks

Step 1: presentation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \text{Spin}(n) \\
 & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \lambda_n \\
 \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SO}(n)
 \end{array}$$

- We have a s.e.s.

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

- $\rho = \varphi\pi$, where $\varphi: F \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$ – holonomy representation
- Λ^2 – normal closure of group generated by squares of all elements of Λ
- If ϵ exists then $\Lambda^2 \subset \ker \epsilon$. Isomorphism theorem:

Existence of $\epsilon \Leftrightarrow$ existence of ϵ_2

The algorithm – remarks

Step 1: presentation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \text{Spin}(n) \\
 & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \lambda_n \\
 \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SO}(n)
 \end{array}$$

- We have a s.e.s.

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

- $\rho = \varphi\pi$, where $\varphi: F \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$ – holonomy representation
- Λ^2 – normal closure of group generated by squares of all elements of Λ
- If ϵ exists then $\Lambda^2 \subset \ker \epsilon$. Isomorphism theorem:

Existence of $\epsilon \Leftrightarrow$ existence of ϵ_2

The algorithm – remarks

Step 1: presentation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \text{Spin}(n) \\
 & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \lambda_n \\
 \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SO}(n)
 \end{array}$$

- We have a s.e.s.

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

- $\rho = \varphi\pi$, where $\varphi: F \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$ – holonomy representation
- Λ^2 – normal closure of group generated by squares of all elements of Λ
- If ϵ exists then $\Lambda^2 \subset \ker \epsilon$. Isomorphism theorem:

Existence of $\epsilon \Leftrightarrow$ existence of ϵ_2

The algorithm – remarks

Step 1: presentation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Gamma/\Lambda^2 & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_2} & \text{Spin}(n) \\
 \uparrow & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \lambda_n \\
 \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SO}(n)
 \end{array}$$

- We have a s.e.s.

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

- $\rho = \varphi\pi$, where $\varphi: F \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$ – holonomy representation
- Λ^2 – normal closure of group generated by squares of all elements of Λ
- If ϵ exists then $\Lambda^2 \subset \ker \epsilon$. Isomorphism theorem:

Existence of $\epsilon \Leftrightarrow$ existence of ϵ_2

The algorithm – remarks

Step 1: presentation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Gamma/\Lambda^2 & \overset{\epsilon_2}{\dashrightarrow} & \text{Spin}(n) \\
 \uparrow & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \lambda_n \\
 \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SO}(n)
 \end{array}$$

Existence of $\epsilon \Leftrightarrow$ existence of ϵ_2

Fact

Up to isomorphism there is a finite number of the groups Γ/Λ^2 in dimension 4.

Some facts

Almost Bieberbach groups in dimension 4

Remark

Classification for AB-groups with abelian Fitting subgroup was made by B. Putrycz and A. Szczepański in 2010.

Based on classification made by Dekimpe in 1996 (GAP package AClib) for **oriented AB-groups with non-abelian Fitting sbgp** we have:

- 1 9 holonomy groups (up to isomorphism);
- 2 9 holonomy representations (up to equivalence) – one for each group;
- 3 43 infinite families of AB-groups; in each family
 - ▶ every group Γ is defined by a sequence of natural numbers (k_1, \dots, k_m) and
 - ▶ Γ/Λ^2 depends only on $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \bmod 2$;
- 4 127 groups of the form Γ/Λ^2 (up to isomorphism and family);

Some facts

Almost Bieberbach groups in dimension 4

Remark

Classification for AB-groups with abelian Fitting subgroup was made by B. Putrycz and A. Szczepański in 2010.

Based on classification made by Dekimpe in 1996 (GAP package AClib) for **oriented AB-groups with non-abelian Fitting sbgp** we have:

- 1 9 holonomy groups (up to isomorphism);
- 2 9 holonomy representations (up to equivalence) – one for each group;
- 3 43 infinite families of AB-groups; in each family
 - ▶ every group Γ is defined by a sequence of natural numbers (k_1, \dots, k_m) and
 - ▶ Γ/Λ^2 depends only on $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \bmod 2$;
- 4 127 groups of the form Γ/Λ^2 (up to isomorphism and family);

Some facts

Almost Bieberbach groups in dimension 4

Remark

Classification for AB-groups with abelian Fitting subgroup was made by B. Putrycz and A. Szczepański in 2010.

Based on classification made by Dekimpe in 1996 (GAP package AClib) for **oriented AB-groups with non-abelian Fitting sbgp** we have:

- 1 9 holonomy groups (up to isomorphism);
- 2 9 holonomy representations (up to equivalence) – one for each group;
- 3 43 infinite families of AB-groups; in each family
 - ▶ every group Γ is defined by a sequence of natural numbers (k_1, \dots, k_m) and
 - ▶ Γ/Λ^2 depends only on $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \bmod 2$;
- 4 127 groups of the form Γ/Λ^2 (up to isomorphism and family);

Some facts

Almost Bieberbach groups in dimension 4

Remark

Classification for AB-groups with abelian Fitting subgroup was made by B. Putrycz and A. Szczepański in 2010.

Based on classification made by Dekimpe in 1996 (GAP package AClib) for **oriented AB-groups with non-abelian Fitting sbgp** we have:

- 1 9 holonomy groups (up to isomorphism);
- 2 9 holonomy representations (up to equivalence) – one for each group;
- 3 43 infinite families of AB-groups; in each family
 - ▶ every group Γ is defined by a sequence of natural numbers (k_1, \dots, k_m) and
 - ▶ Γ/Λ^2 depends only on $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \bmod 2$;
- 4 127 groups of the form Γ/Λ^2 (up to isomorphism and family);

Some facts

Almost Bieberbach groups in dimension 4

Remark

Classification for AB-groups with abelian Fitting subgroup was made by B. Putrycz and A. Szczepański in 2010.

Based on classification made by Dekimpe in 1996 (GAP package AClib) for **oriented AB-groups with non-abelian Fitting sbgp** we have:

- 1 9 holonomy groups (up to isomorphism);
- 2 9 holonomy representations (up to equivalence) – one for each group;
- 3 43 infinite families of AB-groups; in each family
 - ▶ every group Γ is defined by a sequence of natural numbers (k_1, \dots, k_m) and
 - ▶ Γ/Λ^2 depends only on $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \bmod 2$;
- 4 127 groups of the form Γ/Λ^2 (up to isomorphism and family);

Some facts

Almost Bieberbach groups in dimension 4

Remark

Classification for AB-groups with abelian Fitting subgroup was made by B. Putrycz and A. Szczepański in 2010.

Based on classification made by Dekimpe in 1996 (GAP package AClib) for **oriented AB-groups with non-abelian Fitting sbgp** we have:

- 1 9 holonomy groups (up to isomorphism);
- 2 9 holonomy representations (up to equivalence) – one for each group;
- 3 43 infinite families of AB-groups; in each family
 - ▶ every group Γ is defined by a sequence of natural numbers (k_1, \dots, k_m) and
 - ▶ Γ/Λ^2 depends only on $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \bmod 2$;
- 4 127 groups of the form Γ/Λ^2 (up to isomorphism and family);

Some facts

Almost Bieberbach groups in dimension 4

Based on classification made by Dekimpe in 1996 (GAP package AClib) for **oriented AB-groups with non-abelian Fitting sbgp** we have:

- ④ 127 groups of the form Γ/Λ^2 (up to isomorphism and family);
- ⑤ 127 infinite families of AB-groups with the same spin info in each family

no. of families	15	16	42	44	10
no. of spin structures	0	2	4	8	16

Some facts

Almost Bieberbach groups in dimension 4

Based on classification made by Dekimpe in 1996 (GAP package AClib) for **oriented AB-groups with non-abelian Fitting sbgp** we have:

- ④ 127 groups of the form Γ/Λ^2 (up to isomorphism and family);
- ⑤ 127 infinite families of AB-groups with the same spin info in each family

no. of families	15	16	42	44	10
no. of spin structures	0	2	4	8	16

Example

Family no. 103: presentation

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

$$\Gamma = \langle a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta \mid \begin{array}{lll} [b, a] = d^{k_1} & [c, a] = 1 & [d, a] = 1 \\ [c, b] = 1 & [d, b] = 1 & [d, c] = 1 \\ \alpha^4 = d^{k_4} & \alpha a = b \alpha d^{k_2} & \alpha b = a^{-1} \alpha d^{k_3} \\ \alpha c = c \alpha & \alpha d = d \alpha & \\ \beta^2 = c d^{k_5} & \beta a = a \beta d^{k_2 + k_3} & \beta b = b^{-1} \beta \\ \beta c = c \beta d^{-2k_5} & \beta d = d^{-1} \beta & \alpha \beta = \beta \alpha^3 d^{-k_4} \end{array} \rangle$$

- $\Lambda = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$
- $F = \langle \bar{\alpha} = \pi(\alpha), \bar{\beta} = \pi(\beta) \rangle$
- $(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5)$ for AB-groups:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \forall k > 0, k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, (k, 0, 0, 1, 0) \\ \forall k > 0, k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, (k, 0, 0, 3, 0) \end{array} \right\} \equiv (0, 0, 0, 1, 0) \pmod{2}$$

Example

Family no. 103: presentation

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

$$\Gamma = \langle a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta \mid \begin{array}{lll} [b, a] = d^{k_1} & [c, a] = 1 & [d, a] = 1 \\ [c, b] = 1 & [d, b] = 1 & [d, c] = 1 \\ \alpha^4 = d^{k_4} & \alpha a = b \alpha d^{k_2} & \alpha b = a^{-1} \alpha d^{k_3} \\ \alpha c = c \alpha & \alpha d = d \alpha & \\ \beta^2 = c d^{k_5} & \beta a = a \beta d^{k_2+k_3} & \beta b = b^{-1} \beta \\ \beta c = c \beta d^{-2k_5} & \beta d = d^{-1} \beta & \alpha \beta = \beta \alpha^3 d^{-k_4} \end{array} \rangle$$

- $\Lambda = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$
- $F = \langle \bar{\alpha} = \pi(\alpha), \bar{\beta} = \pi(\beta) \rangle$
- $(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5)$ for AB-groups:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \forall k > 0, k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, (k, 0, 0, 1, 0) \\ \forall k > 0, k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, (k, 0, 0, 3, 0) \end{array} \right\} \equiv (0, 0, 0, 1, 0) \pmod{2}$$

Example

Family no. 103: presentation

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

$$\Gamma = \langle a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta \mid \begin{array}{lll} [b, a] = d^{k_1} & [c, a] = 1 & [d, a] = 1 \\ [c, b] = 1 & [d, b] = 1 & [d, c] = 1 \\ \alpha^4 = d^{k_4} & \alpha a = b \alpha d^{k_2} & \alpha b = a^{-1} \alpha d^{k_3} \\ \alpha c = c \alpha & \alpha d = d \alpha & \\ \beta^2 = c d^{k_5} & \beta a = a \beta d^{k_2+k_3} & \beta b = b^{-1} \beta \\ \beta c = c \beta d^{-2k_5} & \beta d = d^{-1} \beta & \alpha \beta = \beta \alpha^3 d^{-k_4} \end{array} \rangle$$

- $\Lambda = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$
- $F = \langle \bar{\alpha} = \pi(\alpha), \bar{\beta} = \pi(\beta) \rangle$
- $(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5)$ for AB-groups:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \forall k > 0, k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, (k, 0, 0, 1, 0) \\ \forall k > 0, k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, (k, 0, 0, 3, 0) \end{array} \right\} \equiv (0, 0, 0, 1, 0) \pmod{2}$$

Example

Family no. 103: presentation

$$1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

$$\Gamma = \langle a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta \mid \begin{array}{lll} [b, a] = 1 & [c, a] = 1 & [d, a] = 1 \\ [c, b] = 1 & [d, b] = 1 & [d, c] = 1 \\ \alpha^4 = d & \alpha a = b\alpha & \alpha b = a^{-1}\alpha \\ \alpha c = c\alpha & \alpha d = d\alpha & \\ \beta^2 = c & \beta a = a\beta & \beta b = b^{-1}\beta \\ \beta c = c\beta & \beta d = d^{-1}\beta & \alpha\beta = \beta\alpha^3 d^{-1} \end{array} \rangle$$

- $\Lambda = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$
- $F = \langle \bar{\alpha} = \pi(\alpha), \bar{\beta} = \pi(\beta) \rangle$
- $(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5)$ for AB-groups:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \forall k > 0, k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, (k, 0, 0, 1, 0) \\ \forall k > 0, k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, (k, 0, 0, 3, 0) \end{array} \right\} \equiv (0, 0, 0, 1, 0) \pmod{2}$$

Example

Family no. 103: preimage in $Spin(4)$

$$1 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{F} \xrightarrow{\lambda_4} F \longrightarrow 1$$

- Holonomy representation $F \rightarrow SO(4, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\bar{\alpha} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \bar{\beta} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- $\tilde{F} = \langle A, B, C \rangle$ where $C = -1$ and

$$A = (1 + e_2 e_3) / \sqrt{2}, B = e_1 e_3$$

$$\tilde{F} = \langle A, B, C \mid C^2 = [C, A] = [C, B] = 1, A^4 = B^2 = (AB)^2 = C \rangle \simeq Q_{16}$$

Example

Family no. 103: preimage in $Spin(4)$

$$1 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{F} \xrightarrow{\lambda_4} F \longrightarrow 1$$

- Holonomy representation $F \rightarrow SO(4, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\bar{\alpha} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \bar{\beta} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- $\tilde{F} = \langle A, B, C \rangle$ where $C = -1$ and

$$A = (1 + e_2 e_3) / \sqrt{2}, B = e_1 e_3$$

$$\tilde{F} = \langle A, B, C \mid C^2 = [C, A] = [C, B] = 1, A^4 = B^2 = (AB)^2 = C \rangle \simeq Q_{16}$$

Example

Family no. 103: preimage in $Spin(4)$

$$1 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{F} \xrightarrow{\lambda_4} F \longrightarrow 1$$

- Holonomy representation $F \rightarrow SO(4, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\bar{\alpha} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \bar{\beta} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- $\tilde{F} = \langle A, B, C \rangle$ where $C = -1$ and

$$A = (1 + e_2e_3)/\sqrt{2}, B = e_1e_3$$

$$\tilde{F} = \langle A, B, C \mid C^2 = [C, A] = [C, B] = 1, A^4 = B^2 = (AB)^2 = C \rangle \simeq Q_{16}$$

Example

Family no. 103: relations

- $S = \{a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta\}$
- For every $\epsilon' : S \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ where

$$\epsilon'(a), \epsilon'(b), \epsilon'(c), \epsilon'(d) \in \{1, C\}$$

$$\epsilon'(\alpha) \in \{A, AC\}$$

$$\epsilon'(\beta) \in \{B, BC\}$$

check relations of Γ in \tilde{F} .

Out of 32 such functions, 8 preserves the relations.

Corollary

Every almost-flat manifold corresponding to a group in the family no. 103 admits 8 spin structures.

Example

Family no. 103: relations

- $S = \{a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta\}$
- For every $\epsilon' : S \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ where

$$\epsilon'(a), \epsilon'(b), \epsilon'(c), \epsilon'(d) \in \{1, C\}$$

$$\epsilon'(\alpha) \in \{A, AC\}$$

$$\epsilon'(\beta) \in \{B, BC\}$$

check relations of Γ in \tilde{F} .

Out of 32 such functions, 8 preserves the relations.

Corollary

Every almost-flat manifold corresponding to a group in the family no. 103 admits 8 spin structures.

Example

Family no. 103: relations

- $S = \{a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta\}$
- For every $\epsilon' : S \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ where

$$\epsilon'(a), \epsilon'(b), \epsilon'(c), \epsilon'(d) \in \{1, C\}$$

$$\epsilon'(\alpha) \in \{A, AC\}$$

$$\epsilon'(\beta) \in \{B, BC\}$$

check relations of Γ in \tilde{F} .

Out of 32 such functions, 8 preserves the relations.

Corollary

Every almost-flat manifold corresponding to a group in the family no. 103 admits 8 spin structures.

Thank you!